

Britain - House of Lords

### A tizz about fizz

#### Peers of the realm resist changes to the quality of their champagne

- 1 Even by British standards, it has been a busy few weeks for observers of class warfare. On November 27th a former Conservative minister lost a libel action against a newspaper that said he had called a policeman at 10 Downing Street a "pleb". A few days before, another was recorded calling a taxi-driver a "smart-arsed little git" and telling him to get a better education. But these incidents pale beside the tale of the peers' champagne.
- 2 On December 2nd, at what otherwise might have been a dull committee meeting, Sir Malcolm Jack, a former clerk of the Commons, was asked why the catering services of the House of Lords (the upper house) and the House of Commons could not have been merged to save money. He replied: "The lords feared that the quality of champagne would not be as good if they chose a joint service." The astonished chair of the committee, Jack Straw, spoke for most of the nation as he gasped: "Did you make that up?" Sir Malcolm assured him he did not.
- 3 The fact that their lordships might not want to choose from the same wine list as the plebs in the Commons has raised the question again for some as to why Britain still 39. Tony Blair got rid of most hereditary peers. But attempts to continue the changes by the coalition government were blocked by Tory traditionalists in 2012 and new members continue to be appointed. The Lords can still block and delay government legislation. And all can claim a £ 300 daily allowance just by showing up.
- 4 As for their drinking habits, a columnist in *The Independent* newspaper had a suggestion: just add fizzy lemonade to a bottle of cheap German plonk. "After the first three glasses you can't tell the difference anyway."



adapted from *The Economist*, 2014

## Tekst 11 A tizz about fizz

---

- 1p 38 What are the examples in paragraphs 1 and 2 meant to make clear?
- A that members of the House of Lords have been reprimanded for behaving arrogantly
  - B that the budget of the peers of the realm is rightfully held under close scrutiny
  - C that the quality of champagne is not a topic worthy of a lengthy political debate
  - D that there is a gulf of incomprehension between the ruling elite and ordinary people
- 1p 39 Which of the following fits the gap in paragraph 3?
- A delights in snobbery of this type
  - B gives the underprivileged political influence
  - C has an unelected upper house
  - D tries to modernise its parliament
- 1p 40 How can the tone of paragraph 4 be characterised best?
- A as arrogant
  - B as disappointed
  - C as impertinent
  - D as presumptuous
  - E as sneering
  - F as sophisticated

---

### Bronvermelding

*Een opsomming van de in dit examen gebruikte bronnen, zoals teksten en afbeeldingen, is te vinden in het bij dit examen behorende correctievoorschrift, dat na afloop van het examen wordt gepubliceerd.*